Alternative Evaluations Addendum

to the STA-SUSD Fall 2020 Distance Learning MOU of July 28, 2020 (all effectual dates, limitations & expiration to parallel that MOU)

Item 14 as it reads in the original MOU:

14. The parties agree to postpone the fall dates of evaluation timelines by 30 calendar days, with exact calendar dates to be identified between the Association and District to be announced as soon as possible.

Item 14 to now read as follows:

Alternative Evaluations

- 14. The parties agree to postpone the fall dates of evaluation timelines by 30 calendar days, with exact calendar dates to be identified between the Association and District to be announced as soon as possible.
 - a. Effective for the 2020 Distance Learning MOU (2020-2021 school year), STA and SUSD agree to use an alternative evaluation specified in (b) below. This alternative evaluation shall be used instead of the contract's traditional evaluation (and shall also be considered in lieu of §3.9, except its last paragraph). However, the alternative evaluation shall not be used with any teachers in the following three instances:
 - Teachers most recently evaluated as unsatisfactory
 - Teachers who do not have permanent status
 - If the teacher indicates in writing (upon being informed by the evaluator of this new alternative evaluation) a preference for the existing contractual evaluation.
 - b. For the alternative evaluation, the evaluator and teacher will each select between one (1) and three (3) total sub-points from the CSTPs, rather than each choosing one (1) from all six (6) of the CSTPs. This means a total of two-to-six (2-6) total evaluated elements, rather than twelve (12). If the teacher and evaluator have already each chosen six (6) this fall, each shall reduce their selections to match the numbers above.
 - At an alternative-evaluation conference, the evaluator and teacher will clarify the new evaluation process and tool, as well as discussing what they see as appropriate evidence of teacher performance for the chosen CSTP points.
 - 1. The evaluator chooses 1-3 CSTPs (or, if already selected, reduces 6 chosen CSTPs to 1-3) and indicates those to the teacher.

Jabs (R)

Doc ID: 7fb9e3a536dbd2dd7abf5513a4259b45ed3a796e

- 2. Teacher then chooses 1-3 CSTPs (or, if already selected, reduces 6 chosen CSTPs to 1-3) and indicates those to the evaluator.
- 3. Finally, teacher chooses whether to begin with an announced observation (of 5, 10, 15 or 20 minutes), or to simply go straight into unannounced observations.

For non-instructional positions, the evaluator and the teacher on special assignment choose 1-3 items from the 16 listed on the non-instructional alternative evaluation form (rather than from the CSTPs).

This conference shall occur within the following timelines:

- 1. For teachers whose evaluator has already conducted a pre-conference under the traditional evaluation, this conference shall be conducted on or before Friday, November 20, 2020. This date also applies for conducting the conference with non-instructional teachers.
- 2. For teachers whose evaluator has not already conducted a pre-conference under the traditional evaluation, this replaces the traditional pre-observation conference and shall be conducted within the traditional contractual timeline.

This provision itself does not extend the timeframe for informing teachers they are being evaluated this year.

- Other than as specified above, the alternative evaluation's timelines (such as teacher opportunity to attach response material to observations and forms) shall mirror the traditional final evaluation timelines in light of existing contract and MOU specifications. Post-observation conferences are waived. Instead, post-observation feedback (which, to qualify as valid input and a valid observation, must include evidence that the evaluator observed regarding the 2-6 selected CSTP items) shall be provided by the evaluator within three (3) working days, including an invitation for the teacher to respond with clarification and other input. Subsequent observations must not be conducted until at least three (3) working days after post-observation feedback was provided in writing by the evaluator.
- Observations can be as short as 5 minutes each, but must have a cumulative minimum of 30 minutes and a minimum of 2 observations. Any observations that have already occurred before implementation of this language shall count towards observation minutes and documented evidence for the remaining chosen CSTPs. Evaluator note-taking for observations is reduced to only include evidence regarding the 2-6 chosen CSTPs and any accompanying commendations and recommendations.

Jabs (R)



Doc ID: 7fb9e3a536dbd2dd7abf5513a4259b45ed3a796e

- The evaluator may conduct follow-up conferences with the teacher for input, improvement plans, overall supportive coaching, and/or suggestions as to what pathways may assist the teacher in attaining their performance goals further.
- The evaluator has the option to additionally have the teacher be evaluated the • following year under the traditional evaluation tool. This shall only be selected for employees receiving a final evaluation of 'Needs Improvement' and only when the evaluator additionally has heightened concerns about teacher performance suggesting a need for a high level of ongoing support. This rating will not be considered an Unsatisfactory under the traditional evaluation system or carry contractual or personnel-file consequences related to receiving an Unsatisfactory rating (e.g. ability to transfer, PAR placement, etc.). This stipulation is only considered an indicator of unsatisfactory performance in light of California Ed Code's references to unsatisfactory performance.
- Forms regarding the final alternative evaluation are attached and included with this Agreement. "Evidence considered" to corroborate ratings must consist of input previously communicated between with the evaluator and teacher via postobservation feedback exchange.

This addendum's scope, context, duration, expiration, and limitations are identical to that of the Distance Learning MOU that this addendum expands upon, as shown below:

All components of the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Association and District not addressed by the terms of this agreement shall remain in full effect. This MOU is being entered solely under the context and circumstances of the COVID-19 epidemic, sets no precedents, and shall only be extended or modified by mutual written agreement. The District and/or Association reserve the right to negotiate any additional impacts related to COVID-19.

For the Association:

FAB-Perez 10/30/2020 Forralishal 11/02/2020

For the District:

- 11 / 02 / 2020

Brian Biedermann 11/02/2020

2020-2021 Alternative Evaluation Instrument Certificated Non-Instructional Assignment (see Article 17.6)

STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Employee Name: _

Evaluator Name: _

C=Commendable S=Satisfactory NI= Needs Improvement N/A=Not Applicable	plicable			
I. KNOWLEDGE	U	S	z	N/A
1. Has a good working knowledge of programs and curriculum				
2. Demonstrates relevant implementation knowledge of Distance Learning technology				
3. Manages and analyzes student data				
4. Coordinates curricular resources				
II. COMMUNICATION & ENGAGEMENT	U	S	Z	N/A
1. Communicates effectively orally and in writing				
2. Provides input to administration as a leadership team member				
3. Facilitates communication with a variety of stakeholders and departments				
4. Support parent involvement through coordinating (Math Night/Literacy Night)				
III. SUPPORT	C	S	IN	N/A
1. Supports teachers in various settings (e.g. classroom, PLCs, student meetings)				

Jabs El

S A

2.	Consults with/assists teachers (e.g	rs (e.g. curriculum planning, instruct	. curriculum planning, instructional strategies, ELD instruction)	(uc				
3.		Plans, implements, and/or provides reflective input on activities related to program goals and objectives (e.g. staff development)	related to program goals and					
4.		Assists teachers in becoming knowledgeable (e.g. of curriculum, ELD, programs, praxis)	, ELD, programs, praxis)					
IV. W	IV. WORK HABITS/RELATIONSHIPS	Sc			C	S	Ī	N/A
<u>.</u> .	Flexibility							
'	Dependability							
с. С	Ability to work without supervision	ision						
4.	Works well with others							
Final	Final Evaluation Summary	Commendable	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	□ □	emen	÷	
Comn	Commendations:							

Fabs El

A B

Recommendations:			
	Evaluator's Signature	Date	
	Employee's Signature	Date	
The evaluation and assessment of the next scheduled evaluation shall occur:	ent of the performance of each cer all occur:	The evaluation and assessment of the performance of each certificated employee shall be made on a continuing basis. The next scheduled evaluation shall occur:	l basis. The
At least every five years for personnel are highly qualified, if those personne federal No Child Left Behind Act of standards, if the evaluator and certific withdraw consent at any time.		At least every five years for personnel with permanent status who have been employed at least 10 years with the school district, are highly qualified, if those personnel occupy positions that are required to be filled by a highly qualified professional by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, and whose previous evaluation rated the employee as meeting or exceeding standards, if the evaluator and certificated employee being evaluated agree. The certificated employee or the evaluator may withdraw consent at any time.	hool district, ional by the r exceeding aluator may
The District shall not evaluate the err coaching &/or other instructional, non Needs Improvement, Satisfactory, or	aluate the employee in the next schoructional, non-evaluative methods. (7 atisfactory, or Commendable.)	The District shall not evaluate the employee in the next school year. Instructional concerns will instead be addressed through coaching &/or other instructional, non-evaluative methods. (<i>This applies regardless of whether the employee was evaluated as Needs Improvement</i> , <i>Satisfactory, or Commendable</i> .)	sed through evaluated as
The District shall evalure receiving a final evaluat teacher performance su	The District shall evaluate this employee again in the next school year. receiving a final evaluation of 'Needs Improvement' and only when the eva teacher performance suggesting a need for a high level of ongoing support.	The District shall evaluate this employee again in the next school year. This option shall only be selected for employees receiving a final evaluation of 'Needs Improvement' and only when the evaluator additionally has heightened concerns about teacher performance suggesting a need for a high level of ongoing support.	· employees cerns about
Evaluator's Initials/Date	Evaluator's Employee ID #	Teacher's Initials/Date Teacher's Employee ID #	loyee ID #
Fabs El		B	Ð

Doc ID: 7fb9e3a536dbd2dd7abf5513a4259b45ed3a796e

STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

2020-2021 Final Evaluation Report: Date:

Employee:

School:

Grade/Subject:

Dates of Observations:

Dates of Post Observation Feedback:

Evaluator Name:

	C-Commendable, S-Satisfactory, NI-Needs Improvement				
T/E	Standard One: Engaging and supporting all students in learning	C	S	NI	
	1-1 Using knowledge of students to engage them in learning				
	1-2 Connecting learning to students' prior knowledge, backgrounds, life experiences, and interests				
	1-3 Connecting subject matter to meaningful, real-life contexts				
	1-4 Using a variety of instructional strategies, resources, and technologies to meet students' diverse learning needs				
	1-5 Promoting critical thinking through inquiry, problem solving, and reflection.				
	1-6 Monitoring student learning and adjusting instruction while teaching.				
T/E	for student learning		S	NI	
	2-1 Promoting social development and responsibility within a caring community where each student is treated fairly and respectfully				
	2-2 Creating physical or virtual learning environments that promote student learning, reflect diversity, and encourage constructive and productive interactions among students				
	2-3 Establishing and maintaining learning environments that are physically, intellectually, and emotionally safe				
	2-4 Creating a rigorous learning environment with high expectations and appropriate support for all students.				
	2-5 Developing, communicating, and maintaining high standards for individual and group behavior				
	2-6 Employing classroom routines, procedures, norms, and supports for positive behavior to ensure a climate in which all students can learn				
	2-7 Using instructional time to optimize learning.				



Page 1 of 4 rev. 10/29/20

T/E	Standard Three: Understanding and organizing subject matter for student learning	С	S	NI
	3-1 Demonstrating knowledge of subject matter academic content standards			
	3-2 Applying knowledge of student development and proficiencies to ensure student understanding of subject matter			
	3-3 Organizing curriculum to facilitate student understanding of the subject matter			
	3-4 Utilizing instructional strategies that are appropriate to the subject matter			
	3-5 Using and adapting resources, technologies, and standards- aligned instructional materials, including adopted materials, to make subject matter accessible to all students			
	3-6 Addressing the needs of English Learners and students with special needs to provide equitable access to the content			
T/E	Standard Four: Planning instruction and designing learning experiences for all students	С	S	NI
	4-1 Using knowledge of student's academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development to plan instruction			
	4-2 Establishing and articulating goals for student learning			
	4-3 Developing and sequencing long term and short term instructional plans to support student learning			
	4-4 Planning instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies to meet the learning needs of all students			
	4-5 Adapting instructional plans and curricular materials to meet the assessed learning needs of all students			
T/E	Standard Five: Assessing student learning		S	NI
	5-1 Applying knowledge of the purposes, characteristics, and uses of different types of assessment			
	5-2 Collecting and analyzing assessment data from a variety of sources to inform instruction			
	5-3 Reviewing data, both student learning individually and with colleagues, to monitor student learning			
	5-4 Using assessment data to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction			
	Fabs &	<u>,</u>	B	2

STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

	5-5 Involving all students in self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring progress			
	5-6 Using available technologies to assist in assessment, analysis, and communication of student learning			
	5-7 Using assessment information to share timely and comprehensible feedback with students and their families			
T/E	Standard Six: Developing as a professional educator	C	S	NI
	6-1 Reflecting on teaching practice in support of student learning			
	6-2 Establishing professional goals and engaging in continuous and purposeful professional growth and development			
	6-3 Collaborating with colleagues and the broader professional community to support teacher and student learning			
	6-4 Working with families to support student learning			
	6-5 Engaging local communities in the support of the instructional program			
	6-6 Managing professional responsibilities to maintain motivation and commitment to all students			
	6-7 Demonstrating professional responsibility, integrity and ethical conduct			

Evidence Considered:			
Final Evaluation Summary:	Commendable	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Commendations:			





Page 3 of 4 rev. 10/29/20

 Recommendations:

 Evaluator's Signature

 Date

 Teacher's Signature

The evaluation and assessment of the performance of each certificated employee shall be made on a continuing basis. The next scheduled evaluation shall occur:

- At least every five years for personnel with permanent status who have been employed at least 10 years with the school district, are highly qualified, if those personnel occupy positions that are required to be filled by a highly qualified professional by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, and whose previous evaluation rated the employee as meeting or exceeding standards, if the evaluator and certificated employee being evaluated agree. The certificated employee or the evaluator may withdraw consent at any time.
- The District shall not evaluate the employee in the next school year. Instructional concerns will instead be addressed through coaching &/or other instructional, non-evaluative methods. (*This applies regardless of whether the employee was evaluated as Needs Improvement, Satisfactory, or Commendable.*)
 - The District shall evaluate this employee again in the next school year. This option shall only be selected for employees receiving a final evaluation of 'Needs Improvement' and only when the evaluator additionally has heightened concerns about teacher performance suggesting a need for a high level of ongoing support.

Evaluator's Initials/Date

Evaluator's Employee ID #

Jabs *H* STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Teacher's Initials/Date

Teacher's Employee ID #

B

Page 4 of 4 rev. 10/29/20